



United States' Contaminated	d Groundwater 🔹 😥
Defining the Issue	
Bureau of the Census: – U.S. population will grow from 310N	1 in 2010 to 439M in 2050
 >50% of potable water in U.S. is fro 	m groundwater
 Increasing scarcity ⇒ water provide Including aquifers contaminated wit 	rs utilizing impaired water sources h anthropogenic hazardous chemicals
United States Drought Monitor Map for November 22, 2017	
Intensity and Impacts None D3 (Extreme Droug D3 (Extreme Droug D4 (Abnormally Dry) D3 (Extreme Droug D4 (Abnormally Dry) D5 (Extreme Droug D5 (Extreme Droug	no contraction of the second sec
D1 (Moderate Drought) D4 (Exceptional D	rought) 🔏 😏

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

United States' Contaminated Groundwater

Defining the Issue

- Groundwater depletion has increased markedly since 1950
- Max depletion during most recent period (2008 2016)
 - 2008 avg. depletion = ~6.6 billion gal/yr
 - 1900–2008 avg. depletion = ~2.4 billion gal/yr
- 1900-2008 AZ alluvial basin total depletion = ~27 billion gal (83K acre-feet)
- Map: 1900-2008 cumulative groundwater depletion in 40 aquifer systems

EXPLANATION Groundwater depletion, in cubic kilometers		
-40 to -10	10 to 25	
-10 to 0	25 to 50	
0 to 3	50 to 150	
3 to 10	150 to 400	



onikow, L.F., 2013, Groundwater depletion in the United States (1900–2008): U.S. Geolo cientific Investigations Report 2013–5079, 63 p., http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5079.



Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)

Contaminant Candidate List (CCL)

- 100 chemicals and 12 microbiological contaminants
- MCLs developed for only small subset
- No MCL does not mean contaminant is not a concern
- EPA screening or toxicity levels may be lower than MCLs or guidance levels:
 - Lower EPA toxicity level for TCE
 - 1,4-dioxane
 - EPA's Regional Screening Level = 0.35 $\mu g/L$
 - CA's Notification Level = 1 μ g/L
 - CA's Response Level = 35 µg/L
 - Water purveyors typically go by EPA's Regional Screening Level

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

Magnitude of the Issue

 Little info about CERCLA, RCRA, DoD, DOE, UST, or other sites directly impacting drinking water supply systems

United States' Contaminated Groundwater

- The number of sites adversely affecting drinking water aquifers is not tracked
- <u>Superfund</u> 1,785 Total National Priority List Sites
 83% of NPL Sites require remediation of groundwater
 - 2007 EPA reported, <u>1,072 facilities had a groundwater remedy</u>
 - 106 have a water supply remedy
 - <u>10% of NPL sites adversely affect or significantly threaten</u> <u>drinking water supply systems</u>

"Alternatives for Managing the Nation's Complex Contaminated Groundwater Sites", Water Science and Technology Board, National Research Council of the National Academy Sciences, 2013 GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



The National Drought Mitigation Center

United States' Contaminated Groundwater

State Policies for Potable End-Use of Impacted Aquifers

- Various states have <u>policies to protect aquifers</u> so they can be used as water supplies
- <u>Very few states have potable end-use groundwater</u> remediation guidance







GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



Many of Contaminant Plumes in the Southwest are:

- <u>Old:</u> >10 years
 <u>Large</u>: mile(s) wide/long
 In densely populated areas
- <u>Dilute:</u> Single digit contaminant ppm
 <u>Deep:</u> >100 feet bgs



Why: Potable End-Use GW Remediation

un 🥵 🕅

- Complete aquifer restoration is not economically feasible
- · Cannot be completed in a reasonable amount of time
- · Most GW remedies are already meeting DW standards
- Wellhead treatment is the only feasible near-term remedy for potable aquifer use
- Drinking water is the ultimate beneficial reuse







GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

Challenges: Potable End-Use GW Remediation

Treatment System Challenges

Public Perception and Education

- Evolving DW standards •
- New chemicals created, • released, detected or deemed harmful
- Advanced toxicological research
- Analytical methods improve
- Costly associated treatment plant upgrades







California: Policy Memo 97-005 Policy Guidance for Direct Domestic Use of Extremely Impaired Sources

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

- . "Extremely impaired sources in CA that need to be cleaned up...represent a significant resource that should not be wasted"
- "Drinking water quality and public health shall be given greater consideration than costs'

· Extremely impaired source:

- Exceeds 10 times an MCL
- Threatened due to proximity to known impacts
- Contains a mixture of contaminants of health concern

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



California: Policy Memo 97-005

- Evaluation Process for Extremely Impaired Drinking Water Source
 - 1. Source Water Assessment
 - 2. Full characterization of raw water quality
 - 3. Source Protection
 - 4. Effective Monitoring and Treatment
 - 5. Human Health Risks Associated with Failure of Proposed Treatment
 - 6. Plus 6 more requirements...

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



EOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS





Case Study – PVOU Adjudication

Adjudicated Basin

- 1973 Main San Gabriel Basin Judgment was issued
- Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster administers adjudicated water rights and manages groundwater resources





GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANT





GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



Six ext wells \rightarrow 125K EQ tank \rightarrow eight 40K GACs \rightarrow four 318 ft³ IX vessels \rightarrow four 144 lamp UV/Ox reactors two 20K GACs \rightarrow four multimedia filters \rightarrow ten RO trains \rightarrow decarbonator \rightarrow 500K Clearwell \rightarrow potable water for a standard stand

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS



- $-\,$ Final 100% design complete and under review by EPA
- Permitting is well underway
- Procurement process initiated for construction General Contractor
- Construction anticipated from June 2018 through May 2019
- Final design of smaller, shallow zone non-potable sister system anticipated for mid-2018

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

